



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DÖCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/957,035	09/21/2001	Hirokatsu Shimada	009683-385	4247
7590 10/03/2005			EXAMINER	
Platon N. Mandros BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			ROGERS, SCOTT A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA	22313-1404		2626	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/957,035	SHIMADA
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	•	Scott A. Rogers	2626
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
Period for	• •		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	June 2005.	
•	•	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	n.	
-,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9,10 and 13</u> is/are rejected.		•
7)🖂	Claim(s) 2,3,7,8,11 and 12 is/are objected to.		
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
•—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	, , ,	•
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. &	5 119(a)-(d) or (f).
=	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,,, priority amade de dictor s	
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	received in this National Stage
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not	received.
A44ab			
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intention S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/13/2005</u> .	5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
· ape		-, 0	<del>_</del>

Application/Control Number: 09/957,035

Art Unit: 2626

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 13 June 2005 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the prior rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection as set forth below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-5, 6, 9, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furukawa et al (English translation of JP 5-91307) in view of Kiyokawa (US 2003/0112334 A1).

#### Referring to claims 1, 6, and 10:

Furukawa et al disclose storing at least two color information files having contents different from each other and selecting one of said at least two color information files according to brightness of image data (see page 7, lines 2-8).

While Furukawa et al do not disclose, externally sending said selected color information file attached to the image data, Kiyokawa teaches externally sending color information attached to the image data (see page 3, paragraphs 58 and 61).

Application/Control Number: 09/957,035

Art Unit: 2626

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Furukawa et al in view of Kiyokawa to externally send the selected color information file attached to the image data in order to accurately perform color matching processing on the color image data (see page 1, paragraph 15 to page 2, paragraph 18).

# Referring to claims 4, 9, and 13:

While Furukawa et al do not disclose creating at least two color information files, such a feature is taught by Kiyokawa (see page 4, paragraph 69).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further modified Furukawa et al in view of Kiyokawa to have created at least two color information files in order to further improve the accuracy of color matching processing by using color information files having the closest relevancy (see page 4, paragraph 71).

#### Referring to claim 5:

Furukawa et al disclose means for reading an original to generate said image data (see page 5, lines 14-19).

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-3, 7-8, and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A Rogers whose telephone number is 571-272-7467. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday 6:00am-2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached at 571-272-7471.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC2600 Customer Service at 571-272-2600. Official correspondence by facsimile should be sent to 571-273-8300. The USPTO contact Center phone numbers are 800-PTO-9199.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

03 October 2005

SCOTT ROGERS